

Platform for rapid deployment of self-configuring and optimized predictive maintenance services



DELIVERABLE

D8.5 – Report on Standardization Activities and Collaboration with Associations v1





D8.5 – Report on Standardization Activities and Collaboration with Associations Final – v1.0, 29/03/2019

Project Acronym: Grant Agreement number: Project Full Title: PROPHESY 766994 (H2020-IND-CE-2016-17/H2020-FOF-2017) Platform for rapid deployment of self-configuring and optimized predictive maintenance services INTRASOFT International SA

Project Coordinator:





DELIVERABLE

D8.5 – Report on Standardization Activities and Collaboration with Associations v1

Dissemination level	(PU) PUBLIC		
Type of Document	(R) Report		
Contractual date of delivery	M18, 31/03/2019		
Deliverable Leader	ICARE		
Status - version, date	Final, v1.0, 29/03/2019		
WP / Task responsible	INTRA / ICARE		
Keywords:	Standards; Clustering; FoF-09 Clustering; Predictive		
	Maintenance; ForeSee Cluster; ISO; IEC; EFFRA;		
	Edge4Industry; AIOTI		



Executive Summary

The present deliverable is the first report on the project's participation and contribution to standardization bodies and EU associations. To this end, the PROPHESY project members have attended various events linked to standardization or clustering activities. Main achievements for this period and the key meetings attended are presented.

A standardization channel has been made for with international norms mechanical vibration, shock and condition monitoring standards committee, thus enabling feedback from and to the PROPHESY project.

At the collaboration with associations level, a cluster with other projects working on the same subject has been initiated (ForeSee). Contents of the web meetings and physical meetings are further detailed in the current report, including information on the PROPHESY Workshop on Predictive Maintenance (organisation, promotion, agenda, discussion).

Other collaborations activities with EFFRA, EU H2020 FAR-EDGE project, Edge4Industry and AIOTI WG11 are also described in the present deliverable.



Deliverable Leader:	ICARE
Contributors:	ALL
Reviewers:	INTRA, AIT, UNPARALLEL
Approved by:	INTRA

Document History				
Version	Date	Contributor(s)	Description	
0.1	31/01/2019	ICARE	Document created	
0.2	15/02/2019	ICARE, AIT	Added contents to all sections of the report and contributions from AIT	
0.3	28/02/2019	ICARE, INTRA	Added contents for the Workshop	
0.4	20/03/2019	ICARE, AIT, INTRA	Review, comments, corrections, inputs	
0.5	22/03/2019	ICARE, UNPARALLEL, INTRA	Added paragraphs to intro & conclusion sections, added mock-up of PdM4Industry, added additional contents for section 3.1	
0.6	25/03/2019	ICARE	Added contents to executive summary and conclusion	
1.0	29/03/2019	INTRA, ICARE	Final version	



Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY					
ТÆ	ABLE O	F CON	ITENTS	5	
TÆ	ABLE O	of Fig	URES	7	
LI	ST OF '	TABLE	S	7	
DI	EFINIT	IONS,	ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	8	
1	IN	TROD	UCTION	9	
	1.1	PURPO	DSE AND AUDIENCE	9	
	1.2	Docu	MENT SCOPE	9	
	1.3	Docu	MENT STRUCTURE	9	
2	RE	PORT	ON STANDARDIZATION ACTIVITIES	10	
	2.1	STANE	DARDIZATION BODIES AND PARTNERS	10	
	2.2	ISO T	C108/SC5 CONDITION MONITORING AND DIAGNOSTICS OF MACHINE SYSTEMS	10	
	2.2	2.1	Attendance of August 2018 Meeting in Helsinki	10	
	2.2	2.2	Norms discussed, revised or cited		
	2.2	2.3	Following of new/reviewed standards from this committee		
3	RE	PORT	ON COLLABORATIONS AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO CLUSTERS AND ASSOCIATIONS	13	
	3.1	PROP	PHESY PARTICIPATION IN THE FOF-09 PROJECTS CLUSTER: "FORESEE"	13	
	3.1	1.1	Overview		
	3.1	1.2	PROPHESY Participation in the 1 st ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.1	1.3	PROPHESY Participation in the 2 nd ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.1	1.4	PROPHESY Participation in the 3 rd ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.1	1.5	PROPHESY Participation in the 4 th ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.1	1.6	PROPHESY Participation in the 1 st physical ForeSee Meeting: FoF-09-2017, Predictive		
	M	ainten	nance of Production Systems	15	
	3.:	1.7	PROPHESY Participation in the 5 th ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.1	1.8	PROPHESY Participation in the 6 th ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.2	1.9	PROPHESY Participation in the 7 th ForeSee Web Meeting		
	3.2	PROP	HESY WORKSHOP ON PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE SOLUTIONS AND SERVICES	18	
	3.2	2.1	Planning and Organisation		
	3.2	2.2	Digital channels promotion		
	3.2	2.3	Agenda and presentations		
	3.2	2.4	Discussion and conclusions		
	3.3	PROP	PHESY COLLABORATION WITH EFFRA		
	3.3	3.1	Overview		
	3.3	3.2	PROPHESY's Participation in Connected Factories Workshop, February 6 th , 2018		
	3.3	3.3	PROPHESY's Participation in EFFRA General Assembly and EFFRAV2019 community debate	е,	
	M	arch 1	9 th , 2019		
	3.4	PROP	PHESY COLLABORATION WITH H2020 FAR-EDGE	38	
	3.5	PROP	PHESY COLLABORATION WITH EDGE4INDUSTRY.EU	39	
	3.6	PROP	PHESY COLLABORATION WITH AIOTI WG11	40	
	3.6.1 Overview				
	3.6	6.2	PROPHESY Participation in AIOTI WG11 Meetings	40	



4	C	ONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE OUTLOOKS	41
5	Α	PPENDIX A	42
	5.1	Agendas of Foresee Custer Meetings	42
	5.2	WORKSHOP MATERIAL	45



Table of Figures

	11
FIGURE 2: THE INITIAL COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT AND AWARENESS CREATION PLAN	17
FIGURE 3: VARIOUS PICTURES FROM THE WORKSHOP IN DRACHTEN, NETHERLANDS	
FIGURE 4: WORKSHOP WEBSITE (1)	
FIGURE 5: WORKSHOP WEBSITE (2)	
FIGURE 6: ONLINE REGISTRATION FORM	
FIGURE 7: WORKSHOP PARTICIPATION	21
FIGURE 8: WORKSHOP FRONT DESK WITH POSTER AND BANNER	22
FIGURE 9: PENS, LEAFLETS AND NAME TAGS	22
FIGURE 10: COFFEE BREAK DURING THE WORKSHOP	
FIGURE 11: GOOGLE ANALYTICS - PAGEVIEWS (CHART)	23
FIGURE 12: GOOGLE ANALYTICS – PAGEVIEWS AND LANDING PAGES (TABLE)	24
FIGURE 13: TWEETS INSIGHTS	25
FIGURE 14: LINKEDIN POSTS	25
FIGURE 15: PRESENTATION BY BINNE VISSER, PHILIPS	27
FIGURE 16: PRESENTATION OF THE KEYNOTE SPEAKER: PATRICK VAN LOON, MICROSOFT	27
FIGURE 17: DIGITAL FEEDBACK LOOP IN THE PDM PROCESS	
FIGURE 18: PRESENTATIONS OF ANDREAS ZALONIS (INTRA), SÖREN SCHNEICKERT (FHG), ALESSANDRO DI BUCCHIANICO (TUE) AND
Haije Zijlstra (PHI)	
FIGURE 19: PRESENTATION OF NICOLA RAULE, CRIT	30
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU	
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY	31 31
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS	31 31 32
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA	31 31 32 32
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS	31 31 32 32 33
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1)	31 32 32 32 33 35
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2)	31 32 32 32 33 35 35
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018	31 32 32 33 35 35 35 37
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019	31 32 32 33 35 35 37 38
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL	31 32 32 33 35 35 37 38 39
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL FIGURE 30: AGENDA OF THE 2ND FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING	31 32 32 33 35 35 37 38 39 42
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL FIGURE 30: AGENDA OF THE 2ND FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 31: AGENDA OF THE 3RD FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING	31 32 32 33 35 35 35 37 38 39 42 42
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL FIGURE 30: AGENDA OF THE 2ND FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 31: AGENDA OF THE 3RD FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 32: AGENDA OF THE 4TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING	31 32 32 33 35 35 37 38 39 42 42 43
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL FIGURE 30: AGENDA OF THE 2ND FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 31: AGENDA OF THE 3RD FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 33 AGENDA OF THE 5TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 33 AGENDA OF THE 5TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING	31 32 32 33 35 35 37 38 39 42 42 43
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL FIGURE 30: AGENDA OF THE 2ND FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 31: AGENDA OF THE 4TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 33 AGENDA OF THE 4TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 34: AGENDA OF THE 6TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 34: AGENDA OF THE 6TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING	31 32 32 33 35 35 35 37 38 39 42 42 43 43 44
FIGURE 20: PRESENTATION OF KARL HRIBERNIK AND STATHIS ANASTASIOU FIGURE 21: PRESENTATION BY BASIM AL-NAJJAR, LINNAEUS UNIVERSITY FIGURE 22: PRESENTATION BY NIKOLAOS NIKOLAKIS, LMS FIGURE 23: PRESENTATION BY MICHELE SURICO, FIDIA FIGURE 24: PRESENTATION BY KOSMAS ALEXOPOULOS, LMS FIGURE 25: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (1) FIGURE 26: DISCUSSIONS DURING THE WORKSHOP (2) FIGURE 27: CONNECTED FACTORIES WORKSHOP, FEBRUARY 6TH, 2018 FIGURE 28: EFFRA GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND EFFRAV2019 COMMUNITY DEBATE, MARCH 19TH, 2019 FIGURE 29: MOCK-UP OF PDM4INDUSTRY ECOSYSTEM PORTAL FIGURE 30: AGENDA OF THE 2ND FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 31: AGENDA OF THE 3RD FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 32: AGENDA OF THE 5TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 33 AGENDA OF THE 5TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 34: AGENDA OF THE 6TH FORESEE CLUSTER MEETING FIGURE 35: WORKSHOP INVITATION	31 32 32 33 35 35 35 37 37 38 39 42 42 42 43 43 44 45

List of Tables

TABLE 1 STANDARDIZATION BODIES POTENTIALLY RELEVANT TO THE PROPHESY PROJECT	10
TABLE 2: FORESEE CLUSTER EVENTS LIST	13



Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym/	Title
Abbreviation	
AIOTI	Alliance for IoT Innovation
DoA	Description of Action
EFFRA	European Factories of the Future Research Association
FoF	Factories of the Future
ForeSee	Cluster of FoF-09 projects on predictive maintenance for the factories of
	the future
ΙοΤ	Internet of Things
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
METSTA	Mechanical Engineering and Metals Industry Standardization in Finland
NBN	Belgium National Bureau for Normalization
PdM	Predictive Maintenance
тс	Technical Committee
WG	Working Group
WP	Work Package
Μ	Month
Т	Task



1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose and audience

This deliverable aims at providing a detailed report on the project's participation and contribution to standardization bodies and EU clusters and associations.

The purpose of the document is to describe all activities undertaken during the 1st period of the project (M1-M18), to summarize activities related to standardization and to explain the activities performed by the partners of the PROPHESY project with EU clusters and associations. The purpose of the task is to promote results, standardize results where relevant and ensure a regular communication of the project towards and with other European Funded projects or associations.

1.2 Document scope

In the scope of the reporting period, the project established liaisons and collaborations with various clusters and associations, in-line with the plan listed in the DoA (Description of the Action). In particular, PROPHESY collaborated and contributed to the following clusters and associations:

- The European Factories of the Future Research Association (EFFRA).
- The Alliance for IoT Innovation (AIOTI) and more specifically its 11th Working Group (WG) on IoT in Manufacturing.
- The Cluster of FoF-09 projects (ForeSee) on predictive maintenance for the factories of the future.

The scope of the partners' contributions to these associations, clusters and their initiatives are described in later paragraphs of the deliverable.

1.3 Document structure

The Sections of the deliverable at hand are organised in the following manner.

After the introductory Section 1, Section 2 provides a report on the standardization activities that took place during the first period of the project.

Section 3 provides a report on the collaboration with associations that took place during the first period of the project.

Section 4 draws the conclusions and future outlooks.



2 Report on Standardization Activities

2.1 Standardization bodies and partners

At the beginning of the project, a list of potentially relevant bodies and standards was released. The initial table is given in the DoA. The reviewed table is given in Table 1. This table takes into account the current status of the Standardization bodies for which PROPHESY partners have established a direct link.

Standard/	Description	Partner(s)
Committee		
ISO TC 108/SC 5	ISO/TC 108 (Mechanical vibration, shock and condition	
	monitoring) Committee	
EFFRA	Contribution to roadmaps, position papers and other	AIT /
	documents to be produced/developed by EFFRA –	INTRA
	Participation in clustering activities	
AOITI WG11	Participation in IoT standards roadmapping initiatives and	AIT
	contribution based on the CPS manufacturing and PdM	
	perspective	

Table 1 Standardization bodies potentially relevant to the PROPHESY project

2.2 ISO TC108/SC5 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machine systems

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) develops and publish International Standards. 163 national standard bodies participate to ISO.

- ISO requires representations of countries in different technical committees.
- ISO Technical Committee 108 is responsible for Mechanical vibration, shock and condition monitoring standards. It is further divided into 4 Functional Committees:
 - ISO/TC 108/SC 02 "Measurement and evaluation of mechanical vibration and shock as applied to machines, vehicles and structures"
 - ISO/TC 108/SC 04 "Human exposure to mechanical vibration and shock"
 - ISO/TC 108/SC 05 "Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machine systems"
 - ISO/TC 108/SC 06 "Vibration and shock generating systems"
- Each committee is composed of Working Groups (WG). Each WG develops standards on a specific subject.

2.2.1 Attendance of August 2018 Meeting in Helsinki

ICARE attended the meeting on behalf of the NBN. NBN is the National Bureau for Normalization and is responsible for developing, publishing and selling standards in Belgium.



The meeting took place on August 13-17, 2018 – ISO TC 108/SC 5 Condition Monitoring and Diagnostics of Machine Systems, Helsinki, Finland at METSTA Office (Conference Center) in Helsinki, Finland.

ISO S	0.0r	METSTA
Notice of meeting	dad (11-at 2233-0111) have (overlagten og	Invitation
Reference ISO/TC 108/SC5 N 693		
Number and title of TCINuméro et titre ISO/TC108/SC5— Condition mo systems	• #u TC nitoring and diagnostics of machine	Welcome to the ISO/TC 108 /SC5 * Condition monitoring and diagnostics
ecretariat/Secrétariat	Meeting/Réunion	of machine systems meetings on August 13" to 17" 2018 in Helsinki.
itandards Australia iecrotary: Ahshanur Rashid	Meeting dates / Dates de la réunion: 2018-08-13 to 17 Note: Refer Meeting Schedule, NBBE	Dear AB,
and the second standards are an	Placed los	We are pleased to invite you to the meetings of ISO/TC 108 / SC 5 that will take place:
ETSTA, Nechanical Engineering of Netalis Industry andardization in Finland	Address/Advesse: METSTA Office (Conference Center), Teolissuskeskus, Etolärenta 10, 80130 HELSINKI, Finland	Date: 2018-08-13 to 2018-08-17
ntact Person at METSTA: Torres Galsan - 548 44 303 7041 WE Street Galson Breeste E	Tel: +358 48 363 7041	Location: METSTA office / Conference Center Address: Teollisuuskeskus, Eteläranta 10 00130 HELSINKI
and O-members are invited to inform the prejudical of the oceans of this notice, within a reach of the neeps of this notice, of eleng, of their intension to be naneteer of their reaction. The approximate naneteer of their epsets and their need for interpretation, we every possible, the names of delegates advancement to be sense of the lengents.	Las menchang (P) at (0) sant invites, sitial city and a party de la invites, based of la presente consumitors. A faine constative au executional de combé occuence d'hair interation attempts and de la invite de la presentation d'itter aquationation de induction, la nombre approximaté de la inviso délégade et la participant en matière d'interprésidation.	(see additional info below)
repairs plangerit contenent to an U.S. opCount	nelulii lonulum, ee lonaliote el mado, juk aprictor	Kind regards:
In delegation should also be sent to the accelerate of the convoltee concerned at east one month before the opening of the resetting.	Dans le mesure du possible, une liste indiquer las norm das dilégués (cu observator), aincit que la mon du chef di la dilégation, devant d'aplement parvenir as assortances concerne un reas au moine avant l'ouverture de la réunice.	METSTA, Mechanical Engineering and Metals Industry Standardization in Finland http://www.metsta.fi/www/en/Index.php
el meeting(s) / Résmion(s) parallèle(s)		
INOTCIONNO E	60703465840346 80703469584039 80703469584039 80703469584039 80703469584003 8070346958403 8070346958403 8070346958405 807034958405 18	METSTA is responsible for coordinating the national work in the field of mechanical engineering and metals industry, building automation and energy management standardization, both on the European and international level. METSTA is a standards
– Weeting Invitation, NSS1 Meeting Schedule, NSS2 – Weeting Into (accommodation, Iravel, map, – Weeting agenda, other meeting documents	, etc.), NIB4 s will be circulated as they become available.	writing body for Finnish Standards Association SFS.

Figure 1 Notice of meeting & invitation to the ISO TC/108 August 2018 meeting

Committee	Title
ISO/TC 108/SC 5/AG E	Strategic planning
ISO/TC 108/SC 5/WG 4	Tribology-based monitoring and diagnostics
ISO/TC 108/SC 5/WG 5	Prognostics
ISO/TC 108/SC 5/WG 7	Training and accreditation in the field of condition monitoring and diagnostics
ISO/TC 108/SC 5/WG 16	Condition monitoring and diagnostics of wind turbines
ISO/TC 108/SC 5/WG 18	Condition monitoring management

ICARE also attended the following meetings and working groups.

2.2.2 Norms discussed, revised or cited

The following list summarizes the norms that were either discussed or commented or used as a reference to highlight the link between some industrial needs and parts of the standards that were potentially covering these needs. For copyright and confidentiality reasons, the details cannot be given in this report.

List of discussed norms:

• ISO 14830-1 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machine systems -- Tribologybased monitoring and diagnostics -- Part 1: General guidelines



- ISO 13381-1 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines -- Prognostics -- Part 1: General guidelines
- ISO 18436-1 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines -- Requirements for qualification and assessment of personnel -- Part 1: Requirements for assessment bodies and the assessment process
- ISO/IEC 17024:2012 Conformity assessment -- General requirements for bodies operating certification of persons
- ISO 16079-1 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of wind turbines -- Part 1: General guidelines
- ISO 16079-2 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of wind turbines -- Part 2: Detection of mechanical faults of the drive train
- ISO 10816-21 Mechanical vibration -- Evaluation of machine vibration by measurements on non-rotating parts -- Part 21: Horizontal axis wind turbines with gearbox
- ISO 13373-1 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines -- Vibration condition monitoring -- Part 1: General procedures
- ISO 5348 Mechanical vibration and shock -- Mechanical mounting of accelerometers
- ISO 55000 Asset management -- Overview, principles and terminology
- ISO 55001 Asset management -- Management systems -- Guidelines for the application of ISO 55001
- ISO 55002 Asset management -- Management systems -- Guidelines for the application of ISO 55001
- ISO 55010 Guidance on alignment of asset management, finance and accounting

2.2.3 Following of new/reviewed standards from this committee

It has been identified that there's a possible use of ISO norms in the PROPHESY approach for T3.3 & T4.1 & T5.1 & T6.3, some of which do fall under the responsibility of the ISO TC 108/SC5 committee. This ensures that any changes or suggested changes will be notified to the PROPHESY project and that the PROPHESY stakeholders may provide, at least through ICARE, a possible feedback for the ISO norms that are under the ISO/TC 108/SC5 committee.



3 Report on Collaborations and Contributions to Clusters and Associations

3.1 PROPHESY Participation in the FoF-09 Projects Cluster: "ForeSee"

3.1.1 Overview

PROPHESY participates in the cluster of predictive maintenance projects, with other projects working on the same subject with different approaches (<u>http://prophesy.eu/foresee-cluster</u>).

This cluster aims at sharing results, strategies, discussing use cases and experience on different topics addressed by the projects, such as algorithms for data analytics, data collection, etc. The idea behind the cluster is to leverage the funding received by all the cluster members in order to go beyond every project's defined scope. Sharing information between members enables open discussions from an audience composed of field and academic experts working on similar projects, thus fostering business, market information, scientific and technical knowledge collaboration.

The cluster includes the following 6 EU-funded projects:

- PROPHESY (<u>http://prophesy.eu/</u> grant agreement no. 766994)
- PROGRAMS (<u>https://www.programs-project.eu/</u> grant agreement no. 767287)
- SERENA (<u>https://www.serena-project.eu/</u> grant agreement no. 767561)
- PreCoM (<u>https://www.precom-project.eu/</u> grant agreement no. 768575)
- UPTIME (<u>https://www.uptime-h2020.eu/</u> grant agreement no. 768634)
- Z-BRE4K (<u>https://www.z-bre4k.eu/</u> grant agreement no. 768869)

The cluster events list is summarized in Table 2. The next paragraphs describe the contents of the discussions and highlight some of the conclusions.

Cluster Event	Date	Туре	Location (if relevant)
Web Meeting 01	11/12/2017	Telco	
Web Meeting 02	26/02/2018	Telco	
Web Meeting 03	04/04/2018	Telco	
Web Meeting 04	31/05/2018	Telco	
1 st Physical Meeting	26/06/2018	Physical meeting	Centre Albert Borschette,
			Brussels
Web Meeting 05	13/09/2018	Telco	
Web Meeting 06	16/11/2018	Telco	
Web Meeting 07	20/12/2018	Telco	
Workshop (organised	24/01/2019	Physical meeting	Philips, Drachten (NL)
by PROPHESY project)			

Table 2: ForeSee Cluster Events List



3.1.2 PROPHESY Participation in the 1st ForeSee Web Meeting

The 1st Foresee web meeting took place the 11th of December 2017 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated. Slides of all projects were prepared according to the proposed agenda. The internal discussions indicated a clear interest to coordinate joint activity.

A number of activities were proposed by each project, on both technical (technology, KPIs, validation aspects) and non-technical (dissemination, commercialization, outreach) aspects of the cluster. More details can be found in the individual projects' presentation and also in the cluster overview presentation.

It was agreed that the mechanism to facilitate the joint activity would be by the means of a joint cluster scope document, addressing technical aspects, non-technical aspects, road mapping activity etc. It was also decided that each project should undertake the lead of specific parts of this scope document that will be discussed in the 2nd conference call.

The tentative title and acronym of the cluster was discussed at this stage. It was also proposed to organize a joint session for the FoF Impact workshop, the next conference call date and a physical meeting for 2018.

3.1.3 PROPHESY Participation in the 2nd ForeSee Web Meeting

The 2nd Foresee web meeting took place the 26th of February 2018 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated. The main discussion points of the 2nd Foresee web meeting were the access of all projects representatives to the shared folder of the cluster, the needs of individual projects, the upcoming common activities/events and the suggested names for the cluster.

The agenda of the 2nd web meeting can be found on Appendix A.

3.1.4 PROPHESY Participation in the 3rd ForeSee Web Meeting

The 3rd Foresee web meeting took place the 4th of April 2018 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated. The main discussion points of the 3rd Foresee web meeting were the access of all projects representatives to the shared folder of the cluster, the progress of the cluster name suggestions, the common interests to standardisation activities and aspects.

The agenda of the 3rd web meeting can be found on Appendix A.

3.1.5 PROPHESY Participation in the 4th ForeSee Web Meeting

The 4th Foresee web meeting took place the 31st of May 2018 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated. The main discussion points of the 4th Foresee web meeting were the progress of the cluster name decision and the progress of each project of the cluster.

The agenda of the 4th web meeting can be found on Appendix A.



3.1.6 PROPHESY Participation in the 1st physical ForeSee Meeting: FoF-09-2017, Predictive Maintenance of Production Systems

The next meeting of the cluster was physical, took place the 26th of June in Brussels and all projects were present.

The discussion elaborated on the idea of creating of a Roadmap for predictive maintenance. This roadmap would document the knowledge acquired by the individual projects. The roadmap should be in the form of a document, approximately 15-20 pages, summarizing the key findings and guiding companies on setting up predictive maintenance approaches.

- Create a new paradigm for introducing predictive maintenance paradigm in the industry
- How to help SMEs adapt such solutions?
- How can the projects differentiate from existing commercial platforms?
- Contribution to the future research agenda
- Contribution towards the development agenda of industrial and technology companies

In order to structure the future activity of the cluster in the long term, a set of Activity areas where proposed and further discussed. While these activity areas would require significant effort to elaborate, it was agreed that they provide a good reference structure to organize the discussion within the cluster. The following activity areas have been listed up to now:

- AA1: Analysis of technology in the market and in the pipeline
- AA2: CLUSTER predictive maintenance concept for the Factory of the Future
- AA3: Trend-setting for the future factory
- AA4: New model for sustainable factories through efficient predictive maintenance
- AA5: Skills building paradigm for predictive maintenance
- AA6: Community building and dissemination
- AA7: Administration-Coordination

Additionally, the following items where discussed and further elaborated over the next web and physical meetings.

- Working on a common cluster presentation
 - Catalogue of platforms enabling technologies
 - Catalogue of Human support techs
 - Catalogue of AI techs
 - Discussion on a sort of Exploitation sandbox idea
 - Data modeling aspects
 - Catalogue of services
- Taxonomy, system terminology
- Potential communication actions
 - Communication in the frame of EFFRA events



- Open access book. Cluster work.
- Technical workshop.
- Conference dedicated session.
- Participation in Euro-maintenance
- Webinar for the outside world
- Cluster name
 - Several good titles were proposed for the cluster as it can be seen in the relevant document in the cluster drive
 - A suitable acronym reflecting the vision of a future predictive maintenance cluster should be identified.
 - Each project has been asked to contribute with potential acronyms and this item will be discussed in the next web meeting

The agenda of the 1st physical meeting can be found on Appendix A.

3.1.7 PROPHESY Participation in the 5th ForeSee Web Meeting

The 5th Foresee web meeting took place the 13th of September 2018 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated. The main discussion points of the 5th Foresee web meeting were the dissemination activities of the cluster, such as Press Releases, Newsletters, Book on PdM, CMS 2018 session planning, etc.

3.1.8 PROPHESY Participation in the 6th ForeSee Web Meeting

The 6th Foresee web meeting took place the 16th of November 2018 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated. The cluster name was confirmed as "Foresee" and the main discussion point was the activities/workshops where the cluster will participate.

During this meeting, a roadmap was also presented to define the next steps, goals and duration of this clustering activity. The Initial Community Management and Awareness Creation Plan is given in Figure 2.





Figure 2: The Initial Community Management and Awareness Creation Plan

The agenda of the 6th web meeting can be found on Appendix A.

3.1.9 PROPHESY Participation in the 7th ForeSee Web Meeting

The 7th Foresee web meeting took place the 18th of December 2018 via GoToMeeting application and all projects participated.

During this meeting, the following tasks were discussed:

- AA1: Analysis of technology in the market and in the pipeline
 - o Reference model for compiling existing technology
 - Analysis of existing approaches
- AA2: ForeSee predictive maintenance concept for the Factory of the Future
 - Scientific and technological aspects identification-clustering
 - Common reference model generalization

The representative of PROPHESY project had a presentation on the AA1 (Analysis of technology in the market and in the pipeline).



3.2 PROPHESY Workshop on Predictive Maintenance solutions and services

The H2020 PROPHESY project organized its 1st workshop on Thursday, January 24, 2019 at PHILIPS premises in Drachten, Netherlands.

The main objective of this workshop was to present the initial results of PROPHESY project to a community of relevant stakeholders and to bring together several EU manufacturing and Predictive Maintenance (PdM) related projects, to assist in the exchange of knowledge, best practices and ideas, and promote inter-project collaboration.

The workshop on Predictive Maintenance solutions and services was organised next to the PROPHESY consortium meeting of January 22-23, 2019 where the first integration of the PROPHESY system at the PHILIPS pilot side was presented and tested – the outcomes of this integration activities and of the first PROPHESY demonstrations are presented in the relevant project deliverables (PHI demo: D7.3, JLR demo: D7.6).



Figure 3: Various pictures from the Workshop in Drachten, Netherlands

3.2.1 Planning and Organisation

3.2.1.1 Planning

INTRASOFT (PROPHESY project coordinator) and PHILIPS (host) were the main organisers of the workshop. PROPHESY partners also participated in the planning, in the technical organization of the workshop, and in the preparation of the PROPHESY presentation.

Initially, a list of candidate attendees and speakers was created, taking into consideration the background and positions of the participants and their involvement on the subject. Two separate invitations were created, one generic (see Appendix) and one for the candidate



speakers. The generic invitation was circulated to the PROPHESY consortium, for the partners to inform the relevant stakeholders.

A dedicated webpage was also created in the PROPHESY website, in which the interested parties could find more information about the workshop: http://prophesy.eu/WorkshopOnPredictiveMaintenance.



Figure 4: Workshop website (1)

Vorkshop 1e H2020 PROPH 1e main objective	p on Predictive Maintenance S	olutions and Services
ie H2020 PROPH ie main objective	HESV project ormanices its 1st workshop on Thursday Janua	
ne main objective	icar project organiaca ica nacivoritanop on maraday, junac	ry 24 2019 at PHILIPS premises in Drachten, Netherlands.
	e of this workshop is to present the results of the project a	d bring together several EU manufacturing and PdM related projects.
egistrati	ion:	
ur confirmation	n of attendance will be greatly appreciated. Please, do so, co	mpleting the online registration form
Norl	kshop Agenda	
09-20 = 09-45	Antonia	
09:45 - 10:15	Coffee & networking	
10:15 - 10:30	Welcome & short PDM-outlook by PHILIPS	
10:30 - 11:00	Predictive Maintenance: Art or Science? Patrick van Loon, Microsoft	
11:00 - 12:00	PROPHESY Main results and key outcomes, including Q&A Presentations from project partners	
12:00 - 12:30	Z-BREAK EU project Presentation of Nicola Raule	
12:30 - 13:00	UPTIME EU project Presentation of Karl Hribernik	
13:00 - 14:00	Lunch break	
14:00 - 14:30	PreCoM EU project Presentation of Basim Al-Najjar	
14:30 - 15:00	SERENA EU project Presentation of Nikolaos Nikolakis	
15:00 - 15:30	PROGRAMS EU project Presentation of Michele Surico	
15:30 - 16:00	MARKET4.0 EU project Presentation of Kosmas Alexopoulos	
16:00 - 17:00	Best practices, open discussion	
	Autor A committee	

Figure 5: Workshop website (2)

Through this webpage, participants could also find a separate document (see Appendix) with practical information regarding the accommodation and the transportation to the venue of the workshop.



The agenda of the workshop (see section 3.2.3) was finalized, taking into consideration the speakers, the nature of the topics under discussion and the time we had available, and was also uploaded to the workshop webpage.

All the logistics and practical details of the workshop were planned by PHILIPS, who hosted the workshop at their premises. Meeting room monitors and support, facilities for breakout sessions and catering facilities were all well arranged.

Furthermore, an online registration form (<u>https://goo.gl/forms/ERKd3Zh2a7tqTLvi2</u>) was created for the participants to confirm their attendance, redirecting from the workshop webpage.

Workshop on Solutions and Event Timing: January 24th 2019 Event Address: PHILIPS (Drachter, N Contact us at (+30) 210 667 9436 or	Predictive Maintenance Services etherlanda) mariza kondi@intrasoft-intl.com
• Required	ROPHESY
Name * Your answer	
Email * Your answer	
Organization *	
I want to receive more in I hereby give my consent processing is regulated b data are processed. The controller for the purpose that PROPHESY believes	formation for PROPHESY to processing of my personal data. Personal data y national law of the country where the personal consent is granted to PROPHESY as the data s of providing me with information about services to be of potential interest to me.
SUBMIT Never submit passwords through Googl	e Forms.

Figure 6: Online Registration Form

3.2.1.2 Organisation

The workshop was very well organized by the host (PHILIPS), with the use of the main conference room with complete audio-visual capabilities. It was also very well attended. There were 48 attendees in the online registration form, while eventually there were 53 participants in total, as 5 participants came directly on site.



D8.5 – Report on Standardization Activities and Collaboration with Associations Final – v1.0, 29/03/2019





Figure 7: Workshop participation

A front desk was placed outside the meeting room, to welcome the participants, subscribe those who had not fill the online registration form and give them the necessary materials. Posters and banner of PROPHESY project were also placed at the front desk.





Figure 8: Workshop front desk with poster and banner

All participants received an already printed name-tag, a notepad with the PHILIPS logo and a pen created especially for PROPHESY, in order to make notes or record any ideas. A leaflet of PROPHESY and a printed copy of the workshop agenda was also shared.

With regards to the consent to the audio/visual documentation of the workshop activities, a consent form (see Appendix) was created, shared and signed by all participants.



Figure 9: Pens, leaflets and name tags

During the workshop two coffee breaks, one lunch and a cocktail took place, which were all arranged and very well supported by PHILIPS staff.





Figure 10: Coffee break during the workshop

3.2.2 Digital channels promotion

As mentioned above, a dedicated webpage was created for the workshop and included all the information needed for the participants. This helped the website to have a lot of traffic.

As we can see, from the figures below, taken from Google Analytics Platform, especially the days that the workshop was promoted through social media channels, either from PROPHESY project or from the consortium, this traffic is heavier.



Figure 11: Google Analytics - Pageviews (chart)

From the tables below, we can also observe that the workshop webpage had in total several pageviews, 391 from which the 305 were unique. The average time viewing the page is 7 min and 52 sec.



Almost 64% of these pageviews (248 sessions) were made as landing page, the page through which visitors entered PROPHESY website and almost half of them (118) were first-time users. Workshop webpage as landing page to the PROPHESY website had an average session duration of 2 min and 42 sec.

Page		Pageviews ?	\checkmark	Uniqu	ie Pageviews 🥐	Avg. Time on Pa	ige ?
		% of Total: 28.	391 .88% (1,354)		30 % of Total: 27.90% (1,09	5 3) Avg for V	00:07:52 iew: 00:02:43 (189.95%)
1. /WorkshopOnPredictiveMain	39	391 (100.00%)		305(100.00	1%)	00:07:52	
Landing Dame (2)	Acquisition				Behavior		
Landing Page	Sessions ?	% New Sessions ?	New Users ?		Bounce Rate	Pages / Session	Avg. Session Duration ?
	248 % of Total: 38.99% (636)	47.58% Avg for View: 55.66% (-14.52%)	1 % of Total: 33. (;	18 .33% (354)	65.73% Avg for View: 53.93% (21.87%)	1.60 Avg for View: 2.14 (-25.03%)	00:02:42 Avg for View: 00:03:09 (-14.36%)
1. /WorkshopOnPredictiveMaintenanc @	248 (100.00%)	47.58%	118 (100.	.00%)	65.73%	1.60	00:02:42

Figure 12: Google Analytics – Pageviews and landing pages (table)

To promote this event, several social media posts were created and shared through the PROPHESY accounts (Twitter, LinkedIn, Facebook and Instagram), but also separately through the consortium and the other cluster projects social media accounts.

Social media posts were shared, before the workshop, in order to inform the stakeholders, the day of the workshop and a few days after, so as to communicate the outcomes and actions that were defined during the event.

As we can see from the Figure 11, taken from Twitter, workshop related posts gained a lot of impressions, an important percentage of engagement and were viewed by several users. This also explains the raise of new followers the same period.

LinkedIn posts achieved a significant number of views and likes, as we can observe from the Figure 12 and were also reshared several times. The most important achievement, though, is that thanks to the posts made for the workshop, the LinkedIn network of PROPHESY grew even more, adding 43 new connections.



	Tweets	Top Tweets	Tweets and replies	Promoted	Impressions	Engagements	Engagement rate	
	- HOTELOT	PROPHESY Proje On January 24th, 1st workshop on 7 in Drachten!	ct @ProphesyProject - De 2019 @ProphesyPr *PredictiveMaintena	oject organizes it nce at @Philips!	619 s NL	54	8.7%	
		Looking forward to edge results on B prototypes prophesy.eu/Work View Tweet activity	o meeting you there a igData & Al including kshopOnPred	and present some our first MVP			Promote	
	O Patricipi	PROPHESY Proje On January 24 @ on #PredictiveM Netherlands. @z_bre4k @upt @SERENA_Proj @Market40_H20 outcomes_Join up	ct @ProphesyProject Ja ProphesyProject or aintenance at @Phil imeH2020 @PreCoM ect @programsEU 20 will present their r st	an 16 Iganises a worksh Iips Drachten A_Project and main results and k	1,226 op	27	2.2%	
		goo.gl/yrknwE pic View Tweet activity	.twitter.com/faVez3N	I2jM			Promote	
	B FBC PHOT	PROPHESY Proje On January 24 @ on #PredictiveM Netherlands. We will be delight results on #BigD: including our first	ct @ProphesyProject Ja ProphesyProject or aintenance at @Phil ted to meet you & pre ata & #AI for predictiv #MVP #prototypes1	an 11 ganises a worksh lips Drachten, esent some edge we maintenance	475 op	10	2.1%	
		goo.gl/yrknwE pic View Tweet activity	twitter.com/YPh1luk	dyL			Promote	
	9 1001000	PROPHESY Proje @ProphesyProje #predictivemain @Philips Dracht #Foresee #clust in #PdM. Thank y	ct @ProphesyProject - Ja ect organised its 1st i tenance on the 24th en Netherlands. All pi er shared their result rou for your participat	m 29 #workshop on of January at rojects from s and achievemen ion and the fruitfu	466 nts	23	4.9%	
	1	discussions we have a clivity	adl pic.twitter.com/zs	jjzg9zMI			Promote	
DEC 2018 SUMMARY			JAN 2019 SUMM	ARY		FEB 2019 SI	UMMARY	
Tweets 1	Tweet impr 716	ressions	Tweets 3		Tweet impressions 2,333	Tweet impre 469	ssions	Profile visits
Profile visits 28	Mentions 1		Profile visits 54	N	Ventions 11	New follower	rs	
New followers			New followers					

Figure 13: Tweets insights



Figure 14: LinkedIn posts



3.2.3 Agenda and presentations

3.2.3.1 Workshop Agenda

The final agenda of the workshop was the following:

09:30 - 09:45	Arrivals
09:45 - 10:15	Coffee & networking
10:15 - 10:30	Welcome & short PDM-outlook by PHILIPS Presentation of Binne Visser
10:30 – 11:00	Predictive Maintenance: Art or Science? Presentation of Patrick van Loon, Microsoft
11:00 – 11:45	PROPHESY Main results and key outcomes, including Q&A <i>Presentations from project partners</i>
11:45 - 12:00	Coffee break
12:00 - 12:30	<u>Z-BRE4K EU project</u> Presentation of Nicola Raule
12:30 - 13:00	UPTIME EU project Presentation of Karl Hribernik
13:00 - 13:45	Lunch break
13:45 – 14:15	PreCoM EU project Presentation of Basim Al-Najjar
14:15 – 14:45	SERENA EU project Presentation of Nikolaos Nikolakis
14:45 – 15:00	Coffee break
15:15 – 15:30	PROGRAMS EU project Presentation of Michele Surico
15:30 – 16:00	MARKET4.0 EU project Presentation of Kosmas Alexopoulos
16:00 - 17:00	Best practices, open discussion
17:00 - 17:15	Cocktail & networking

The presenters provided a public version of their presentation that was posted in the workshop website. The links in the agenda provide direct access to those public presentations.



3.2.3.2 Presentations

After a short introduction for the workshop by Haije Zijlstra from PHILIPS and Andreas Zalonis from INTRASOFT, the workshop started with the presentations as per the agenda. Below, a short summary for each presentation is provided, along with the main discussion points.



Predictive Maintenance outlook by PHILIPS – benefit to Business

Figure 15: Presentation by Binne Visser, PHILIPS

The focus of this presentation was the business benefits of applying the appropriate maintenance techniques and practices on tools and equipment. Specific examples were presented, including the associated cost-benefit analysis for the cost of maintenance versus the benefit in total business cost. Having as a target to improve the cost benefits, PHILIPS is transferring from Condition Based Maintenance to Predictive Maintenance. A specific example of that process was applied in industrial robots, where at the beginning the maintenance was performed by frequent monitoring and inspections, resulting in high repair costs and unexpected down time. By collecting and examining the existing data, related to basic parameters and accuracy of robot arm in time, PHILIPS managed to have a prediction for when the break down occur in the most important robots in place and apply timely repairs during planned production stops. The result was improved maintenance cost, less analytic manpower, less production downtime, extended life time of robots.

Predictive Maintenance: Art or Science?



Figure 16: Presentation of the Keynote speaker: Patrick van Loon, Microsoft

The keynote speaker of the workshop was Mr. Patrick van Loon from Microsoft. Patrick van Loon is Cloud Solution Architect at Microsoft Western Europe. In this role he is advising large



manufacturing companies to achieve more by using innovative technologies in their operations. Patrick is an Industrial Engineer with over 20 years of experience in both manufacturing/supply chain operations as well as Information Technology. He's always looking for practical applications of new technologies to drive value. For the last 10 years his focus has been on use-cases related to Big Data, Advanced Analytics and Ilot, including large scale Predictive Maintenance programs.

His presentation was concentrated in the PdM challenges in the framework of Industry 4.0. The main challenge that was highlighted in the presentation and in the discussion followed was the **difficulty to scale PdM solutions** when there is exponential growth in data sources, in modelling complexity, and in user requirements and applications. This issue was identified by PROPHESY partners, pointing that one of the objectives of the project is to provide solutions that are scalable and can be applied to different user scenarios and settings. The project partners will investigate the best approach to test and assess the scalability of the system within the framework of the two pilot cases at PHILIPS and JLR factories.

Another important discussion was about the application of the **Digital Feedback Loop** in the PdM process, which is the process of collecting data from IoT devices and sensors, then performing data analysis to gain insights to select the appropriate solutions, and then perform specific actions to apply these solutions. In this process it is important to establish a feedback loop in order to identify modifications in the data collection that will lead to the improvement of the solutions. All project presentations that followed tried to identify which aspects of their developments apply to this Digital Feedback Loop.



Figure 17: Digital Feedback Loop in the PdM process

Mr van Loon also provided insights and guidelines to the PdM solution developers: to have as a focus only the business problem and not to be carried away by the "fancy" algorithmic trends that may unnecessary increase complexity with minimal results in the end.

The final part of the presentation was about Microsoft's data analytics research activities and on how the results of those activities are transferred to the business domain (business applications and processes).



PROPHESY EU project



Figure 18: Presentations of Andreas Zalonis (INTRA), Sören Schneickert (FHG), Alessandro Di Bucchianico (TUE) and Haije Zijlstra (PHI)

The presentation of PROPHESY project had the following structure:

- PROPHESY Overview
- Architecture and functionalities
- Data Analytics Techniques for PdM
- Application on production tooling at PHILIPS factory

In the overview of the project, the main components were presented, along with the concept on how these components will be combined and used to provide added value to a manufacturing facility. The implementation plan was also presented, focusing in the two pilot demonstrators at PHILIPS and JLR.

The presentation of the architecture was concentrated in the connection with previous activities and projects (e.g., MANTIS, FAR-EDGE), on how PROPHESY is compliant to the MANTIS Reference Architecture and on the key functionalities. A small technical discussion followed between the participants on specific aspects of the architecture and on how these choices are implemented in the existing system.

In the data analytics part, the focus was on the adopted methodologies for the Remaining Useful Lifetime (RUL) estimation.

The application of PROPHESY technologies on production tooling at PHILIPS factory was the focal point of the PROPHESY presentation. Since the first demonstration was performed on the site just two days ago, the audience had the opportunity to experience first the main outcomes of this effort and to provide comments and remarks. Mr Zijlstra presented in detail the current situation, the challenges and the high-level objectives in this production line. The three Uses Cases were



presented: the RUL prediction cold-forming tool, the RUL prediction 5-fold cut-out tool, and the AR assisted tool maintenance, along with the status of the implemented monitoring system in the relevant machines. The presentation raised a lot of attention from the attendees, asking questions on the difficulty of installations, the time consumed, and on the feedback from the maintenance employees.

All the presenters tried to identify where PROPHESY is compliant with the Digital Feedback Loop and when the feedback based on the actions taken would assist in the improvement of the system. The main conclusion was that in principle the PROPHESY platform is capable to incorporate modifications based on the feedback and the results. These modifications may involve the placement of additional sensors or the application of different algorithms. This is something that the consortium partners will examine during the course of the project.

Z-BRE4K EU project



Figure 19: Presentation of Nicola Raule, CRIT

An overview of Z-BRE4K project was presented, concentrated in the project's main features, the three Use case demos (SACMI – CDS, Plastic compression moulding machinery, PHILIPS, Cutting and bending line for shaving blades manufacturing, GESTAMP, Metal sheet stamping and welding for automotive applications), the general architecture, and the expected added value of the project. After the overview the Z-Bre4k Predictive Modelling status was presented giving emphasis in the Gestamp Use Case. Advanced statistical methods and pattern recognition algorithms have been used to determine events based on outliers and anomalies. The initial results were presented, along with key conclusions identifying the future steps of the project. A dedicated presentation was also performed for the Philips razor blades production line, providing the technical details for the methods used to detect patterns in time series and to detect changes which are not statistically evident. In the end there was some discussion on the general architecture and some clarifications were provided.



UPTIME EU project



Figure 20: Presentation of Karl Hribernik and Stathis Anastasiou

An overview for the UPTIME approach, solution, draft architecture components, and industrial Use Cases was provided by Mr Hribernik. The presentation raised attention and questions from the audience for the functionality of the presented dashboards. The second part of the presentation was about the MAILLIS Use Case. The maintenance data used in this use case was presented, along with a detailed explanation on the sensor types and their placement in the machines. Some initial results were presented, and a discussion followed on the next steps of the project.

PreCoM EU project



Figure 21: Presentation by Basim Al-Najjar, Linnaeus University

The presentation provided an overview of the project and the followed workplan: the communication mechanisms (I/O), the multi-sensor platform, the physical and statistical models used, the production scheduling process, the Augmented Reality (AR) and the Production Line Information Visualization (PLIV) applications, the integration of modules so far, and the performance analysis tools.



SERENA EU project



Figure 22: Presentation by Nikolaos Nikolakis, LMS

The concept of SERENA project was presented in detail. The preliminary proof-of-concept for the SERENA system was also presented, which included the: Edge data collection and preprocessing: Cloud infrastructure, data ingestion and storage; the Predictive analytics; and the Maintenance scheduling & visualization. The target is to implement the system on the COMAU Robot Box, so more details were given for the relevant data sources and edge preprocessing. The last part of the presentation was about the cloud infrastructure, and the data ingestion and storage on Infinite testbed (based in Cork, Ireland) and the predictive analytics framework.

As in the previous presentations the audience mainly asked questions for clarifications on the presented technical developments.

PROGRAMS EU project



Figure 23: Presentation by Michele Surico, FIDIA

Overview of the project, including the platform architecture design, the tools (Smart Control System), and the methodology for advanced physical modelling. One of the key issues, that was identified early in the project, was the assumption of the relevant stakeholders that PdM is not going to save them enough money to justify the investment. This assumption was also discussed during the keynote presentation as an important barrier in the adoption of PdM techniques. In this framework, PROGRAMS project aims at integrating PdM into an ICT platform capable of determining when PdM application is profitable.



MARKET4.0 EU project



Figure 24: Presentation by Kosmas Alexopoulos, LMS

MARKET4.0 was the only project outside the FoF-09-2017 cluster. It was included as the last presentation to provide additional insights to the participants for the opportunities in manufacturing business-to-business (B2B) collaboration. The project provides a system for on-line Procurement of Production Equipment and Services, which is basically a peer-to-peer marketplace for manufacturing services. It brings together the production equipment provider, the service provider (application developer), and the manufacturing company. It creates technical and financial trust to prove payment, delivery and anonymized feedback in manufacturing B2B collaboration. The approach and the technology used for the implementation of such ecosystem was presented.

The project started on November 2018 and it is in its initial phases. However, all attendees presented significant interest on the marketplace and asked questions for the project timeplan and the initial availability of the platform. A link between the FoF-09-2017 cluster projects and MARKET4.0 has been established during this meeting and all cluster projects will be constantly informed for the marketplace developments in the forthcoming cluster meetings.

3.2.4 Discussion and conclusions

After the projects presentations there was approximately one hour dedicated to open discussion.

Following the discussion during the presentations, the application of the Digital Feedback Loop in the PdM process was further discussed in the context of the identification of the cost benefits for the interested companies. The keynote speaker pointed out that any PdM solution should be accompanied with a clear cost-benefit analysis. So, in the iterative process proposed in the Digital Feedback Loop one must identify specific financial benefits in some parts of the process.

Another discussion point, that was also mentioned in the previous presentations, was the important challenge on the scalability of the proposed solutions. As it was mentioned by the keynote speaker, the modelling of a single machine is relatively easy when it is compared with the complexity of applying the same solution to different machines.



Another discussion topic was the difficulty to identify the relevant and useful data from a large pool of available data. This is a difficult task and it was raised from almost all participants.

In his concluding remarks the keynote speaker made the following suggestions

- all researchers to adopt a business first mindset when developing algorithms and processes for PdM to try to be useful and relevant to the business needs
- identify the reference architecture and be adopted by all relevant approaches
- not reinvent the wheel when solutions exist (e.g., security solutions)

In the framework of inter-project collaboration within the FoF-09-2017 cluster, and also based on the projects' presentations, it was clear that there is a variety of Use Cases in the projects. Usually the solutions proposed are driven by these Use Cases, so one specific solution or approach will never be the optimal for all the Use Cases. For that purpose, it was proposed that the cluster projects will initiate a dialogue that will include the different Use Cases of the projects – to identify overlaps and collaboration opportunities.

Also, there was the idea to share results, data formats and KPIs to assist each other in the scaling of their solutions. However, this would probably require the creation of an NDA between the involved parties. The possibility for building a common ecosystem for all FoF-09-2017 cluster projects was also discussed. The feasibility of such an approach will be examined in the forthcoming cluster meetings.

In the end it was clear to everyone that this workshop was an important and necessary step for the progress of the cluster activities. Furthermore, all projects had to organize and present their current status and progress in a relevant audience which included not only project participants, but also other stakeholders and they had to answer to various questions that eventually will assist them in their development efforts. As the projects progresses and produce more results we will have the opportunity to organize more focused events in the future.





Figure 25: Discussions during the workshop (1)



Figure 26: Discussions during the workshop (2)



3.3 PROPHESY Collaboration with EFFRA

3.3.1 Overview

PROPHESY focuses on predictive maintenance solutions for the factories of the future. As such it is a project with clear relevance to the activities of European Factories of the Future Research Association – EFFRA (<u>https://www.effra.eu/</u>), the non-for-profit, industry-driven association that promotes the development of new and innovative production technologies. EFFRA is the official representative of the private side in the 'Factories of the Future' public-private partnership. Several of the PROPHESY partners (e.g., INTRA, PHILIPS, MONDRAGON) are members of EFFRA and play an active role in defining and executing EFFRA's activities.

In the H2020 space, EFFRA is coordinating the Connected Factories (<u>https://www.effra.eu/connectedfactories</u>) coordination and support action, which explores pathways to the digital integration and interoperability of manufacturing systems and processes and the benefits this will bring.

Since the very beginning of its lifetime, PROPHESY established close links with ERRA and its ConnectedFactories project with the following objectives:

- To exchange knowledge with other EFFRA projects that are supported by ConnectedFactories, notably projects in the area of digital automation. The aim of this knowledge exchange would be to explore how PROPHESY innovations in predictive maintenance techniques (notably BigData analytics for predictive maintenance) could be integrated and used in conjunction with leading edge digital manufacturing platforms.
- To join efforts and build synergies for ecosystem and community building, given that results within EFFRA supported projects are addressed to same target groups as the results of PROPHESY. This provided opportunities for streamlining ecosystem and community building efforts, including efforts towards building the PROPHESY solutions and ecosystems and the PROPHESY service bricks catalogue and solution optimization engine.

In following paragraphs, we provide more details regarding concrete synergies between PROPHESY and EFFRA during the reporting period.

3.3.2 PROPHESY's Participation in Connected Factories Workshop, February 6th, 2018 PROPHESY gave an introductory presentation about the project in the scope of a Connected Factories workshop organized by EFFRA in February 2018 in Brussels. The goal of the presentation was to introduce the project and its expected outcomes to EFFRA members. The presentation received significant attention, with EFFRA members asking information about the algorithms to be used/deployed for maintenance data sets processing in the scope of PROPHESY, along with questions about the AR (Augmented Reality) solutions of the project. Almost 200 people participated in the workshop and the key participants were OEMs, SMEs, Industrie4.0 interested companies, EFFRA members and Manufuture members.



Overall, these interactions raised awareness about PROPHESY in the EFFRA community and instigated initial discussions about collaborations with other projects. All the projects of the "ForeSee" cluster were also represented in the workshop.



Figure 27: Connected Factories Workshop, February 6th, 2018

3.3.3 PROPHESY's Participation in EFFRA General Assembly and EFFRAV2019 community debate, March 19th, 2019

PROPHESY project participated in the General Assembly of EFFRA and the EFFRA Vision Community debate in Brussels. The aim of this debate is to have a thorough discussion on the EFFRA vision for Horizon Europe and the future Manufacturing Partnership.

During the EFFRAV2019, speakers and participants explored EFFRA's vision for Horizon Europe and discussed the case for the Manufacturing PPP under this new EU framework programme through two panels:

a) The EFFRA Roadmap for Horizon Europe (2021 – 2027): Making the Case for the Manufacturing Partnership

b) How the Manufacturing Partnership relates to other European Initiatives & activities



In the event approximately 350 people participated, out of that an amount of about 100 participants can be assumed to be targeted audience with interest in Predictive Maintenance, even though the general topic was broader.

The general feedback from the participation was positive. Predictive Maintenance is a topic that needs continued attention and research activities. Positive feedback also about the FORESEE cluster activity where all related projects from the same call collaborate.



Figure 28: EFFRA General Assembly and EFFRAV2019 community debate, March 19th, 2019

3.4 PROPHESY Collaboration with H2020 FAR-EDGE

PROPHESY has established a close technical collaboration with H2020 FAR-EDGE project (which is part of the FoF-11 cluster of EFFRA/Connected Factories project) on Distributed Data Analytics (DDA). The collaboration has been instigated by common partners of both projects, including AIT, SENSAP and UNPARALLEL and concerned the customization and reuse of FAR-EDGE's distributed data analytics solution in PROPHESY. Note that this solution is open source and made available for reuse based on an open source license. The collaboration has been substantiated based on a number of technical meetings and teleconferences between the joint partners (listed above) and other PROPHESY partners involved in data collection and integration (including INTRA, ICARE and FHG). As a result of these discussions, the FAR-EDGE DDA solution has been customized and use for data integration and consolidation of diverse streams in the PHILIPS and JLR demonstrators. This is a tangible collaboration case, which streamlines the FAR-EDGE exploitation strategy with



the PROPHESY technical development and demonstration activities towards reuse of results and maximization of value for money.

3.5 PROPHESY Collaboration with Edge4Industry.eu

In terms of ecosystem building, PROPHESY collaborates with the Edge4Industry initiative, which has been established by the FAR-EDGE project as well. Edge4Industry.eu consolidates and makes available through a single entry point most of the industrial automation results FAR-EDGE, including software, middleware, solutions brief and blueprints, as well as tutorials and training presentations. Most of these results are based on edge computing solutions for automation, simulation (digital twins) and analytics developed in FAR-EDGE. The community is currently joined by over 100 participants from different organizations in Europe. These participants are within the target audience/groups of the PROPHESY results. Hence, PROPHESY has opted to create synergies with Edge4Industry in terms of community building. The latter synergies are substantiated in the establishment of PdM4Industry a community similar to Edge4Industry, but focused on Predictive Maintenance. This would allow PROPHESY to address directly the participants of the Edge4Industry community, but also to create a wider brand ("4Industry") for Factories of the Future solutions. The establishment of the PdM4Industry community is an on-going activity in PROPHESY WP6 and WP8.



Figure 29: Mock-up of PdM4Industry Ecosystem portal



3.6 PROPHESY Collaboration with AIOTI WG11

3.6.1 Overview

The Alliance for IoT Innovation includes a working group that is devoted to IoT solutions for future manufacturing. These is prominent link with PROPHESY activities, given that PROPHESY takes advantage of advanced Industrial IoT solutions for data collection and analytics, including algorithms for advanced IIoT analytics. PROPHESY has therefore initiated a dialogue with this working group aiming at knowledge transfer and experience sharing. This has been substantiated so far as listed in the following paragraphs.

3.6.2 PROPHESY Participation in AIOTI WG11 Meetings

PROPHESY has joined the AIOTI WG11 meeting (through AIT) in June 2018, during the IoT Week. It provided inputs to AIOTI and its roadmap for IoT in manufacturing, with emphasis on autonomous systems for collecting and processing data for maintenance.



4 Conclusions and Future Outlooks

This first report (M1-M18), about the project's participation and contribution to standardization bodies and EU associations, shows that several key actions have been performed to ensure that the project is on the track with respect to exploitation and dissemination prospects.

On the standardization part, a link has been created with the ISO Technical Committee responsible for standards related to Mechanical vibration, shock and condition monitoring - Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machine systems.

The clustering collaboration has also been successfully initiated at an early stage of the project and kept up and running through the ForeSee cluster with regular web and physical meetings, including a major workshop on M16, involving at least one representative of each FoF-09-2017 Predictive Maintenance project. This workshop, organized and hosted by PROPHESY partners focused on sharing technical information about the status of the Factories of the Future projects on predictive maintenance, enabling discussions and eventually initiating future collaborations.

Aside from the main ForeSee cluster, partners have been collaborating in EFFRA, at the Connected Factories Workshop, Edge4Industry, and AIOTI WG11.

For the next period, it is expected that the project will link to the above clusters and initiatives as a means of developing content and building a larger base of participants. Clustering will very likely be continued through events and other workshops, attended or hosted by at least one partner of the PROPHESY project. Standardization activities will also continue, keeping an eye on norms and hopefully participating more actively in norms preparations by taking into account the current and future needs of the PROPHESY project.



5 Appendix A

5.1 Agendas of Foresee Custer Meetings

2nd Meeting Agenda

Predictive Maintenance of Production Systems 26/02/2018

GotoMeeting: <u>https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/705387981</u> See also Calendar invitation sent separately.

AGENDA

13:00 – 13:15 Welcome, introductions

- Status of access to the common folder
- Cluster name proposal progress

13:15 – 13:30 Follow-up kick-off meeting activities, all participants

• Conferences, common activities up to now

Feedback from each project if any

- 13:30 14:15 Discussion on the proposed structure of the cluster
 - Introduction-Proposed action, Sotiris
 - Feebdack from each project
- 14:15 14:30 Round table feedback next steps

Next meeting

Projects

- SERENA
- PRECOM
- PROPHESY
- PROGRAMSZ-BREA4K
- UPTIME
- -----

End of document

Figure 30: Agenda of the 2nd ForeSee Cluster Meeting

3rd Meeting Agenda

Predictive Maintenance of Production Systems

04/04/2018

GotoMeeting: <u>https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/475816301</u> See also Calendar invitation sent separately.

AGENDA

14:30 - 14:45 Welcome, introductions

- Status of access to the common folder
- Cluster name proposal progress

14:45 – 15:00 Follow-up kick-off meeting activities, all participants

- Conferences, common activities up to now
- · Feedback from each project if any

15:00 - 15:45 Discussion on the cluster activities

- Cluster overview draft, Basim
- Standardisation common interests, Cosmas
- Feedback from each project

15:45 - 16:00 Round table feedback - next steps

Next meeting

Projects

- SERENA
- PRECOM
- PROPHESY
- PROGRAMS
- Z-BREA4K
- UPTIME
- Figure 31: Agenda of the 3rd ForeSee Cluster Meeting



15:15 - 15:30

4 th	Meeting	Agenda	3
-----------------	---------	--------	---

Predictive Maintenance of Production Systems 31/05/2018 GotoMeeting: <u>https://global.gotomeeting.com/ioin/984473333</u> See also Calendar invitation sent separately.

AGENDA

- Status of access to the common folder
- Cluster name proposal progress

14:45 – 15:15 Follow-up kick-off meeting activities, all participants

- Projects mapping updates from each project
- Slides upload in the common folder-web meeting 04
 Feedback from each project

Next meeting Projects SERENA PRECOM PROPHESY PROGRAMS Z-BREA4K UPTIME

Round table feedback - next steps

Figure 32: Agenda of the 4th ForeSee Cluster Meeting

5th Meeting Agenda

FoF-09-2017, Predictive Maintenance of Production Systems Tuesday 26 June 2018, 11:00 – 16.00

Venue: Centre Albert Borschette, Brussels, Room: AB / 3.10

AGENDA

11:00 - 11:45 Welcome, overview of cluster topics, Sotiris

- Motivation, cluster activity
- Overview of cluster, content and Status
- Overview of all the FoF projects involved
- New ongoing and forthcoming cluster related calls

11:45 – 13:00 Common technical aspects, ALL projects

- Proposed content of presentations
 - o Definition of Predictive maintenance goals
 - o Scientific/technical goals, approach discussion
 - Impacts substantiation

- Synergies sought
- \circ Technical cross cutting issues
- \circ Non-technical cross-cutting issues
- Discussion

Break

14:00 – 15:30 Round table discussion on the following topics, ALL

- Relevant commercial products and Relevant market
- Future factory vision for predictive maintenance solutions
- Sustainability considerations
- Skills requirements for the future factory
- Community engagement
- Other items of interest

15:30 – 16:00 Wrap up, next meeting, Sotiris, ALL

Projects

Figure 33 Agenda of the 5th ForeSee Cluster Meeting



6th Meeting Agenda

Predictive Maintenance of Production Systems

16/11/2018

GotoMeeting: https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/561686901

See also Calendar invitation sent separately.

AGENDA

11:00 – 11:20 Welcome, introductions

- Follow up from previous meeting
- Cluster name
- Cluster events
 - Workshops

11:20 – 11:50 Follow-up activities, all participants

- Projects fields of key contribution o PdM roadmap
- Follow up on book proposal

11:50 – 12:00 Round table feedback – next steps • Next meeting • Online

o Physical

Projects

- SERENA
- PRECOM
- PROPHESY
- PROGRAMS
- Z-BREA4K
- UPTIME

Figure 34: Agenda of the 6th ForeSee Cluster Meeting



5.2 Workshop material



Figure 35: Workshop invitation



D8.5 – Report on Standardization Activities and Collaboration with Associations Final – v1.0, 29/03/2019



PdM Workshop - Practical Information

Workshop on Predictive Maintenance

January 24, 2018 Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Drachten, The Netherlands

Practical information

Venue address

Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Oliemolenstraat 5, 9203 ZN Drachten Meeting room: Conference room AH

H2020 Contract No. 766994

At arrival, the participants must report at the security desk at Oliemolenstraat 5 and they will receive instructions for parking and directions to the meeting room.

Hotel recommendations

*** Hotel Het Witte Huis, Olterterp: <u>https://wittehuisolterterp.nl/en/</u> Address: Van Harinxmaweg 20, 9246 TL, Beetsterzwaag (4.5km from venue location) Rates: ~€ 80 per night, breakfast included.

**** Hotel Van der Valk, Drachten: <u>https://www.hoteldrachten.nl/en/</u> Address: Lavendelheide 4, 9202 PD, Drachten (1.5km from venue location) Rates: ~€ 130 - € 150 per night, breakfast included.

***** Hotel Bilderberg, Beetsterzwaag: <u>https://www.bilderberg.nl/en/</u> Address: Van Harinxmaweg 10, 9244 CJ Beetsterzwaag (5.4km from venue location) Rates: ~€ 150 per night, breakfast included.

Transportation

Nearest airport: Schiphol Airport, Amsterdam (160km from Drachten)

Amsterdam-Drachten:

- Rental car: most convenient option, approx. 1:45 hr drive.
- Public transport from Amsterdam:
 - by train to Heerenveen (25km from Drachten)
 - then by bus or taxi to Drachten
 - Taxi: less than 30 minutes

Bus: route number 320, duration 28 minutes, departure every 30 minutes (hh:09 + hh:39)

Bus station 'Van Knobelsdorffplein', Drachten (1km from venue, 15 minutes' walk) For details on bus and train departure times consult <u>https://9292.nl/en</u> (this is a general site for public transport in the Netherlands).

Flixbus from Amsterdam to Drachten (<u>https://global.flixbus.com/bus-routes/bus-amsterdam-drachten</u>)

January 24, 2019 Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Drachten, The Netherlands

Figure 36: Practical Information